IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 2556 of 1996

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE S.D.SHAH

- Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
- 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
- 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
- 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
- 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

HIND ENGINEERING WORKS

Versus

HAMIRRAJ CHAMPAJI MARWADI

Appearance:

MR DG CHAUHAN for Petitioner
MR VM DHOTRE for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE S.D.SHAH Date of decision: 27/06/96

ORAL JUDGEMENT

- 1. Rule. Mr. V.M. Dhotre, learned Counsel for the respondent waives service of rule.
- 1. At the hearing of this petition, learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner Mr.D.G. Chauhan and learned Counsel appearing for the respondent Mr. V.M. Dhotre have produced consent terms reduced into writing between the parties. The said consent terms are for some payment

of Rs. 25,000/- and are signed by the respective parties. The respondent workman is present in the court. The respondent workman states that the contents of the consent terms are explained to him as per which he is accepting amount of Rs. 25,000/- in full and final settlement of his demand and he is foregoing the other rights and benefits flowing from the award which is passed in his favour. He has also stated that he does not press for the relief of reinstatement. He also states that he has already received the full amount of Rs. 25,000/- from the petitioner.

2. In view of the aforesaid, the consent terms are taken on record. The judgment and award of the Labour Court is substituted by the aforesaid consent terms. Petition stands allowed to the aforesaid extent. There shall be no order as to costs.
